

During the interview, Applicant's representative and Examiner discussed the rejection of claim 1 by the prior art, Suzuki et al. (JP 2001-322148). Applicant's representative argues that the prior art, Suzuki et al. discloses press fit means by using attaching portion (34) for stamper (32) for applying stress to the mirror surface block (18), which is not required for limitation "press fit" of claim 1 of the instant application. Applicant's representative's argument is fully considered, but not found persuasive because claim 1 of the instant application does not cite that press fit means does not require any attaching portion. Claim 1 cites that during press fit, at least either the stamper or the inner holder is subjected to stress in excess of its yield point and plastically deformed, which is anticipated by Suzuki et al. (See figure 2 and paragraph # 9 and 32 of the translation of Suzuki et al.). Therefore, no agreement is reached at this point.

Applicant's representative is also suggested to label figure 2 as "prior art".

/D. N. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Philip C Tucker/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791